

Induction Intro

Note 3

Natural numbers start at 0, and there is always a next one. For predicates on natural numbers the *principle of induction* is: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n) \equiv P(0) \wedge \forall n, P(n) \implies P(n+1)$.

That is, to prove $P(n)$ for natural numbers one proves $P(0)$, the *base case*, and $\forall n, P(n) \implies P(n+1)$, the *induction step*. In the induction step, the assumption that $P(n)$ is true is called the *induction hypothesis* which is typically used to argue that $P(n+1)$ is true.

An example is the statement $P(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. The base case, $P(0)$, is the observation that $\sum_{i=0}^0 i = 0$. In the induction step, the induction hypothesis, $P(n)$, is $\sum_{i=0}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. The induction step proceeds as follows:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} i = \sum_{i=0}^n i + n + 1 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + n + 1 = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}.$$

The first equality follows from the definition of the notation, \sum , the second substitutes the induction hypothesis and the last is algebra. And what is proven is $P(n+1)$, which is that $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} i = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$.

Another and equivalent view of the natural numbers are that there are the numbers 0 to n and then there is $n+1$. The *strong induction principle* is that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n) \equiv P(0) \wedge \forall n, ((\forall k \leq n) P(k)) \implies P(n+1).$$

Here the induction hypothesis is that $P(k)$ is true for all values $k \leq n$. To prove that every natural number $n \geq 2$ can be written as a product of primes, we take the base case as $P(2)$ which can be written as 2, which is a product of a prime. And for any n , if it is prime, it can be written as itself, otherwise $n = ab$ and by the inductive hypotheses $P(a)$ and $P(b)$ is that each can be written as a product of primes. Thus, we can write n as the product of the primes in both a and b . Note here that the base case starts at 2, which illustrates that one chose the base case as is relevant to the statement being proven.

Strengthening the induction hypothesis is a technique proves a stronger theorem. The example, the notes consider the theorem *The sum of the first n odd numbers is a perfect square*. In fact, the notes inductively prove the stronger theorem *The sum of the first n odd numbers is n^2* . Here, the stronger inductive hypothesis allows the induction step to proceed easily.

1 Natural Induction on Inequality

Note 3

Prove that if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x > 0$, then $(1+x)^n \geq 1+nx$.

2 Make It Stronger

Note 3

Suppose that the sequence a_1, a_2, \dots is defined by $a_1 = 1$ and $a_{n+1} = 3a_n^2$ for $n \geq 1$. We want to prove that

$$a_n \leq 3^{(2^n)}$$

for every positive integer n .

(a) Suppose that we want to prove this statement using induction. Can we let our inductive hypothesis be simply $a_n \leq 3^{(2^n)}$? Attempt an induction proof with this hypothesis to show why this does not work.

(b) Try to instead prove the statement $a_n \leq 3^{(2^n-1)}$ using induction.

(c) Why does the hypothesis in part (b) imply the overall claim?

3 Binary Numbers

Note 3 Prove that every positive integer n can be written in binary. In other words, prove that for any positive integer n , we can write

$$n = c_k \cdot 2^k + c_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \cdots + c_1 \cdot 2^1 + c_0 \cdot 2^0,$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $i \leq k$.

4 Fibonacci for Home

Note 3 Recall, the Fibonacci numbers, defined recursively as

$$F_1 = 1, F_2 = 1, \text{ and } F_n = F_{n-2} + F_{n-1}.$$

Prove that every third Fibonacci number is even. For example, $F_3 = 2$ is even and $F_6 = 8$ is even.