

# Today

Finish Euclid.

# Today

Finish Euclid.

Bijection/CRT/Isomorphism.

# Today

Finish Euclid.

Bijection/CRT/Isomorphism.

Fermat's Little Theorem.

# Today

Finish Euclid.

Bijection/CRT/Isomorphism.

Fermat's Little Theorem.

# Quick review

Review runtime proof.

## Runtime Proof.

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

**Theorem:** (euclid x y) uses  $O(n)$  "divisions" where  $n = b(x)$ .

## Runtime Proof.

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

**Theorem:** (euclid x y) uses  $O(n)$  "divisions" where  $n = b(x)$ .

**Proof:**

**Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

# Runtime Proof.

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

**Theorem:** (euclid x y) uses  $O(n)$  "divisions" where  $n = b(x)$ .

**Proof:**

**Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

After  $2\log_2 x = O(n)$  recursive calls, argument x is 1 bit number.

# Runtime Proof.

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

**Theorem:** (euclid x y) uses  $O(n)$  "divisions" where  $n = b(x)$ .

**Proof:**

**Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

After  $2\log_2 x = O(n)$  recursive calls, argument  $x$  is 1 bit number.

One more recursive call to finish.

# Runtime Proof.

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

**Theorem:** (euclid  $x$   $y$ ) uses  $O(n)$  "divisions" where  $n = b(x)$ .

**Proof:**

**Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

After  $2\log_2 x = O(n)$  recursive calls, argument  $x$  is 1 bit number.

One more recursive call to finish.

1 division per recursive call.

# Runtime Proof.

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

**Theorem:** (euclid  $x$   $y$ ) uses  $O(n)$  "divisions" where  $n = b(x)$ .

**Proof:**

**Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

After  $2\log_2 x = O(n)$  recursive calls, argument  $x$  is 1 bit number.

One more recursive call to finish.

1 division per recursive call.

$O(n)$  divisions.



## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

**Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

**Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

**Proof of Fact:** Recall that first argument decreases every call.

## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

**Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

**Proof of Fact:** Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1:  $y < x/2$ , first argument is  $y$   
 $\implies$  true in one recursive call;

## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

**Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

**Proof of Fact:** Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1:  $y < x/2$ , first argument is  $y$   
 $\implies$  true in one recursive call;

## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

**Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

**Proof of Fact:** Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1:  $y < x/2$ , first argument is  $y$   
 $\implies$  true in one recursive call;

Case 2: Will show " $y \geq x/2$ "  $\implies$  " $\text{mod}(x, y) \leq x/2$ ."

## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

### **Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

**Proof of Fact:** Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1:  $y < x/2$ , first argument is  $y$   
 $\implies$  true in one recursive call;

Case 2: Will show " $y \geq x/2$ "  $\implies$  " $\text{mod}(x, y) \leq x/2$ ."  
 $\text{mod}(x, y)$  is second argument in next recursive call,

## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

### **Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

**Proof of Fact:** Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1:  $y < x/2$ , first argument is  $y$   
 $\implies$  true in one recursive call;

Case 2: Will show " $y \geq x/2$ "  $\implies$  " $\text{mod}(x,y) \leq x/2$ ."

$\text{mod}(x,y)$  is second argument in next recursive call,  
and becomes the first argument in the next one.

## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

### **Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

**Proof of Fact:** Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1:  $y < x/2$ , first argument is  $y$   
 $\implies$  true in one recursive call;

Case 2: Will show " $y \geq x/2$ "  $\implies$  " $\text{mod}(x, y) \leq x/2$ ."

$\text{mod}(x, y)$  is second argument in next recursive call,  
and becomes the first argument in the next one.

When  $y \geq x/2$ , then

## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

### **Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

**Proof of Fact:** Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1:  $y < x/2$ , first argument is  $y$   
 $\implies$  true in one recursive call;

Case 2: Will show " $y \geq x/2$ "  $\implies$  " $\text{mod}(x, y) \leq x/2$ ."

$\text{mod}(x, y)$  is second argument in next recursive call,  
and becomes the first argument in the next one.

When  $y \geq x/2$ , then

$$\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = 1,$$

## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

### **Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

**Proof of Fact:** Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1:  $y < x/2$ , first argument is  $y$   
 $\implies$  true in one recursive call;

Case 2: Will show " $y \geq x/2$ "  $\implies$  " $\text{mod}(x, y) \leq x/2$ ."

$\text{mod}(x, y)$  is second argument in next recursive call,  
and becomes the first argument in the next one.

When  $y \geq x/2$ , then

$$\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = 1,$$

$$\text{mod}(x, y) = x - y \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor =$$

## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

### **Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

**Proof of Fact:** Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1:  $y < x/2$ , first argument is  $y$   
 $\implies$  true in one recursive call;

Case 2: Will show " $y \geq x/2$ "  $\implies$  " $\text{mod}(x, y) \leq x/2$ ."

$\text{mod}(x, y)$  is second argument in next recursive call,  
and becomes the first argument in the next one.

When  $y \geq x/2$ , then

$$\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = 1,$$

$$\text{mod}(x, y) = x - y \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = x - y \leq x - x/2$$

## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

### **Fact:**

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

**Proof of Fact:** Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1:  $y < x/2$ , first argument is  $y$   
 $\implies$  true in one recursive call;

Case 2: Will show " $y \geq x/2$ "  $\implies$  " $\text{mod}(x, y) \leq x/2$ ."

$\text{mod}(x, y)$  is second argument in next recursive call,  
and becomes the first argument in the next one.

When  $y \geq x/2$ , then

$$\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = 1,$$

$$\text{mod}(x, y) = x - y \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = x - y \leq x - x/2 = x/2$$

## Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

### Fact:

First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

**Proof of Fact:** Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1:  $y < x/2$ , first argument is  $y$   
 $\implies$  true in one recursive call;

Case 2: Will show " $y \geq x/2$ "  $\implies$  " $\text{mod}(x, y) \leq x/2$ ."

$\text{mod}(x, y)$  is second argument in next recursive call,  
and becomes the first argument in the next one.

When  $y \geq x/2$ , then

$$\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = 1,$$

$$\text{mod}(x, y) = x - y \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = x - y \leq x - x/2 = x/2$$



# Poll

**Mark correct answers.**

Note:  $\text{Mod}(x,y)$  is the remainder of  $x$  divided by  $y$  and  $y < x$ .

# Poll

## **Mark correct answers.**

Note:  $\text{Mod}(x,y)$  is the remainder of  $x$  divided by  $y$  and  $y < x$ .

(A)  $\text{mod}(x,y) < y$

# Poll

## Mark correct answers.

Note:  $\text{Mod}(x,y)$  is the remainder of  $x$  divided by  $y$  and  $y < x$ .

(A)  $\text{mod}(x,y) < y$

(B) If  $\text{euclid}(x,y)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(u,v)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(a,b)$  then  $a \leq x/2$ .

# Poll

## Mark correct answers.

Note:  $\text{Mod}(x,y)$  is the remainder of  $x$  divided by  $y$  and  $y < x$ .

(A)  $\text{mod}(x,y) < y$

(B) If  $\text{euclid}(x,y)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(u,v)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(a,b)$  then  $a \leq x/2$ .

(C)  $\text{euclid}(x,y)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(u,v)$  means  $u = y$ .

# Poll

## Mark correct answers.

Note:  $\text{Mod}(x,y)$  is the remainder of  $x$  divided by  $y$  and  $y < x$ .

(A)  $\text{mod}(x,y) < y$

(B) If  $\text{euclid}(x,y)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(u,v)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(a,b)$  then  $a \leq x/2$ .

(C)  $\text{euclid}(x,y)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(u,v)$  means  $u = y$ .

(D) if  $y > x/2$ ,  $\text{mod}(x,y) = (x - y)$

# Poll

## Mark correct answers.

Note:  $\text{Mod}(x,y)$  is the remainder of  $x$  divided by  $y$  and  $y < x$ .

- (A)  $\text{mod}(x,y) < y$
- (B) If  $\text{euclid}(x,y)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(u,v)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(a,b)$  then  $a \leq x/2$ .
- (C)  $\text{euclid}(x,y)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(u,v)$  means  $u = y$ .
- (D) if  $y > x/2$ ,  $\text{mod}(x,y) = (x - y)$
- (E) if  $y > x/2$ ,  $\text{mod}(x,y) < x/2$

# Poll

## Mark correct answers.

Note:  $\text{Mod}(x,y)$  is the remainder of  $x$  divided by  $y$  and  $y < x$ .

- (A)  $\text{mod}(x,y) < y$
- (B) If  $\text{euclid}(x,y)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(u,v)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(a,b)$  then  $a \leq x/2$ .
- (C)  $\text{euclid}(x,y)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(u,v)$  means  $u = y$ .
- (D) if  $y > x/2$ ,  $\text{mod}(x,y) = (x - y)$
- (E) if  $y > x/2$ ,  $\text{mod}(x,y) < x/2$

# Poll

## Mark correct answers.

Note:  $\text{Mod}(x,y)$  is the remainder of  $x$  divided by  $y$  and  $y < x$ .

- (A)  $\text{mod}(x,y) < y$
- (B) If  $\text{euclid}(x,y)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(u,v)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(a,b)$  then  $a \leq x/2$ .
- (C)  $\text{euclid}(x,y)$  calls  $\text{euclid}(u,v)$  means  $u = y$ .
- (D) if  $y > x/2$ ,  $\text{mod}(x,y) = (x - y)$
- (E) if  $y > x/2$ ,  $\text{mod}(x,y) < x/2$

## Finding an inverse?

We showed how to efficiently tell if there is an inverse.

## Finding an inverse?

We showed how to efficiently tell if there is an inverse.

Extend euclid to find inverse.

## Euclid's GCD algorithm.

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

## Euclid's GCD algorithm.

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

Computes the  $\text{gcd}(x,y)$  in  $O(n)$  divisions. (Remember  $n = \log_2 x$ .)

## Euclid's GCD algorithm.

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
      x
      (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

Computes the  $\text{gcd}(x, y)$  in  $O(n)$  divisions. (Remember  $n = \log_2 x$ .)

For  $x$  and  $m$ , if  $\text{gcd}(x, m) = 1$  then  $x$  has an inverse modulo  $m$ .

# Multiplicative Inverse.

GCD algorithm used to tell **if** there is a multiplicative inverse.

# Multiplicative Inverse.

GCD algorithm used to tell **if** there is a multiplicative inverse.

How do we **find** a multiplicative inverse?

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by$$

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

By extended GCD theorem, when  $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ .

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

By extended GCD theorem, when  $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ .

$$ax + bm = 1$$

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

By extended GCD theorem, when  $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bm &= 1 \\ ax &\equiv 1 - bm \end{aligned}$$

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

By extended GCD theorem, when  $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bm &= 1 \\ ax &\equiv 1 - bm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

By extended GCD theorem, when  $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bm &= 1 \\ ax &\equiv 1 - bm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $a$  multiplicative inverse of  $x \pmod{m}$ !!

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

By extended GCD theorem, when  $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bm &= 1 \\ ax &\equiv 1 - bm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $a$  multiplicative inverse of  $x \pmod{m}$ !!

Example: For  $x = 12$  and  $y = 35$ ,  $\gcd(12, 35) = 1$ .

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

By extended GCD theorem, when  $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bm &= 1 \\ ax &\equiv 1 - bm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $a$  multiplicative inverse of  $x \pmod{m}$ !!

Example: For  $x = 12$  and  $y = 35$ ,  $\gcd(12, 35) = 1$ .

$$(3)12 + (-1)35 = 1.$$

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

By extended GCD theorem, when  $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bm &= 1 \\ ax &\equiv 1 - bm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $a$  multiplicative inverse of  $x \pmod{m}$ !!

Example: For  $x = 12$  and  $y = 35$ ,  $\gcd(12, 35) = 1$ .

$$(3)12 + (-1)35 = 1.$$

$$a = 3 \text{ and } b = -1.$$

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

By extended GCD theorem, when  $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bm &= 1 \\ ax &\equiv 1 - bm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $a$  multiplicative inverse of  $x \pmod{m}$ !!

Example: For  $x = 12$  and  $y = 35$ ,  $\gcd(12, 35) = 1$ .

$$(3)12 + (-1)35 = 1.$$

$$a = 3 \text{ and } b = -1.$$

The multiplicative inverse of  $12 \pmod{35}$  is 3.

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

By extended GCD theorem, when  $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bm &= 1 \\ ax &\equiv 1 - bm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $a$  multiplicative inverse of  $x \pmod{m}$ !!

Example: For  $x = 12$  and  $y = 35$ ,  $\gcd(12, 35) = 1$ .

$$(3)12 + (-1)35 = 1.$$

$$a = 3 \text{ and } b = -1.$$

The multiplicative inverse of  $12 \pmod{35}$  is 3.

Check:  $3(12)$

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

By extended GCD theorem, when  $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bm &= 1 \\ ax &\equiv 1 - bm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $a$  multiplicative inverse of  $x \pmod{m}$ !!

Example: For  $x = 12$  and  $y = 35$ ,  $\gcd(12, 35) = 1$ .

$$(3)12 + (-1)35 = 1.$$

$$a = 3 \text{ and } b = -1.$$

The multiplicative inverse of  $12 \pmod{35}$  is 3.

Check:  $3(12) = 36$

## Extended GCD

**Euclid's Extended GCD Thm:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + by = d \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(x, y).$$

“Make  $d$  out of sum of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ .”  
smallest positive value for such an expression.  
since always a multiple of  $d$ .

What is multiplicative inverse of  $x$  modulo  $m$ ?

By extended GCD theorem, when  $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bm &= 1 \\ ax &\equiv 1 - bm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $a$  multiplicative inverse of  $x \pmod{m}$ !!

Example: For  $x = 12$  and  $y = 35$ ,  $\gcd(12, 35) = 1$ .

$$(3)12 + (-1)35 = 1.$$

$$a = 3 \text{ and } b = -1.$$

The multiplicative inverse of  $12 \pmod{35}$  is 3.

Check:  $3(12) = 36 = 1 \pmod{35}$ .

Make  $d$  out of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ ..?

$\text{gcd}(35, 12)$

Make  $d$  out of multiples of  $x$  and  $y$ ..?

`gcd(35, 12)`

`gcd(12, 11) ; ; gcd(12, 35%12)`

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
```

```
  gcd(12, 11)  ;;  gcd(12, 35%12)
```

```
    gcd(11, 1)  ;;  gcd(11, 12%11)
```

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35,12)
  gcd(12, 11)  ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1)  ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1,0)
        1
```

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
  gcd(12, 11) ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1) ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1, 0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
  gcd(12, 11)  ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1)  ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1, 0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?

$$35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$$

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
  gcd(12, 11)  ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1)  ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1, 0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?

$$35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$$

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
  gcd(12, 11)  ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1)  ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1, 0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?

$$35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$$

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?

$$12 - \lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = 12 - (1)11 = 1$$

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
  gcd(12, 11)  ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1)  ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1, 0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?

$$35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$$

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?

$$12 - \lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = 12 - (1)11 = 1$$

Algorithm finally returns 1.

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
  gcd(12, 11) ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1) ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1, 0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?

$$35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$$

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?

$$12 - \lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = 12 - (1)11 = 1$$

Algorithm finally returns 1.

But we want 1 from sum of multiples of 35 and 12?

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
  gcd(12, 11)  ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1)  ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1, 0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?

$$35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$$

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?

$$12 - \lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = 12 - (1)11 = 1$$

Algorithm finally returns 1.

But we want 1 from sum of multiples of 35 and 12?

Get 1 from 12 and 11.

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
  gcd(12, 11) ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1) ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1, 0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?

$$35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$$

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?

$$12 - \lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = 12 - (1)11 = 1$$

Algorithm finally returns 1.

But we want 1 from sum of multiples of 35 and 12?

Get 1 from 12 and 11.

$$1 = 12 - (1)11$$

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
  gcd(12, 11) ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1) ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1, 0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?

$$35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$$

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?

$$12 - \lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = 12 - (1)11 = 1$$

Algorithm finally returns 1.

But we want 1 from sum of multiples of 35 and 12?

Get 1 from 12 and 11.

$$1 = 12 - (1)11 = 12 - (1)(35 - (2)12)$$

Get 11 from 35 and 12 and plugin....

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
  gcd(12, 11)  ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1)  ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1, 0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?

$$35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$$

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?

$$12 - \lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = 12 - (1)11 = 1$$

Algorithm finally returns 1.

But we want 1 from sum of multiples of 35 and 12?

Get 1 from 12 and 11.

$$1 = 12 - (1)11 = 12 - (1)(35 - (2)12) = (3)12 + (-1)35$$

Get 11 from 35 and 12 and plugin.... Simplify.

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
  gcd(12, 11)  ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1)  ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1, 0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?

$$35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$$

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?

$$12 - \lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = 12 - (1)11 = 1$$

Algorithm finally returns 1.

But we want 1 from sum of multiples of 35 and 12?

Get 1 from 12 and 11.

$$1 = 12 - (1)11 = 12 - (1)(35 - (2)12) = (3)12 + (-1)35$$

Get 11 from 35 and 12 and plugin.... Simplify.

## Make $d$ out of multiples of $x$ and $y$ ..?

```
gcd(35, 12)
  gcd(12, 11)  ;; gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1)  ;; gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1, 0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?

$$35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$$

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?

$$12 - \lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = 12 - (1)11 = 1$$

Algorithm finally returns 1.

But we want 1 from sum of multiples of 35 and 12?

Get 1 from 12 and 11.

$$1 = 12 - (1)11 = 12 - (1)(35 - (2)12) = (3)12 + (-1)35$$

Get 11 from 35 and 12 and plugin.... Simplify.  $a = 3$  and  $b = -1$ .

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x,y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

**Claim:** Returns  $(d, a, b)$ :  $d = \gcd(x, y)$  and  $d = ax + by$ .

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

**Claim:** Returns  $(d, a, b)$ :  $d = \gcd(x, y)$  and  $d = ax + by$ .

**Example:**

```
ext-gcd(35, 12)
```

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

**Claim:** Returns  $(d, a, b)$ :  $d = \gcd(x, y)$  and  $d = ax + by$ .

**Example:**

```
ext-gcd(35, 12)
  ext-gcd(12, 11)
```

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

**Claim:** Returns  $(d, a, b)$ :  $d = \gcd(x, y)$  and  $d = ax + by$ .

**Example:**

```
ext-gcd(35, 12)
  ext-gcd(12, 11)
    ext-gcd(11, 1)
```

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

**Claim:** Returns  $(d, a, b)$ :  $d = \gcd(x, y)$  and  $d = ax + by$ .

**Example:**

```
ext-gcd(35, 12)
  ext-gcd(12, 11)
    ext-gcd(11, 1)
      ext-gcd(1, 0)
```

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

Claim: Returns  $(d, a, b)$ :  $d = \gcd(x, y)$  and  $d = ax + by$ .

Example:  $a - \lfloor x/y \rfloor \cdot b =$

```
ext-gcd(35, 12)
  ext-gcd(12, 11)
    ext-gcd(11, 1)
      ext-gcd(1, 0)
        return (1, 1, 0) ;; 1 = (1)1 + (0) 0
```

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

Claim: Returns  $(d, a, b)$ :  $d = \gcd(x, y)$  and  $d = ax + by$ .

Example:  $a - \lfloor x/y \rfloor \cdot b = 1 - \lfloor 11/1 \rfloor \cdot 0 = 1$

```
ext-gcd(35, 12)
  ext-gcd(12, 11)
    ext-gcd(11, 1)
      ext-gcd(1, 0)
        return (1, 1, 0) ;; 1 = (1)1 + (0) 0
      return (1, 0, 1)   ;; 1 = (0)11 + (1)1
```

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

Claim: Returns  $(d, a, b)$ :  $d = \gcd(x, y)$  and  $d = ax + by$ .

Example:  $a - \lfloor x/y \rfloor \cdot b = 0 - \lfloor 12/11 \rfloor \cdot 1 = -1$

```
ext-gcd(35, 12)
  ext-gcd(12, 11)
    ext-gcd(11, 1)
      ext-gcd(1, 0)
        return (1, 1, 0) ;; 1 = (1)1 + (0) 0
      return (1, 0, 1)   ;; 1 = (0)11 + (1)1
    return (1, 1, -1)   ;; 1 = (1)12 + (-1)11
```

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

Claim: Returns  $(d, a, b)$ :  $d = \gcd(x, y)$  and  $d = ax + by$ .

Example:  $a - \lfloor x/y \rfloor \cdot b = 1 - \lfloor 35/12 \rfloor \cdot (-1) = 3$

```
ext-gcd(35, 12)
  ext-gcd(12, 11)
    ext-gcd(11, 1)
      ext-gcd(1, 0)
        return (1, 1, 0) ;; 1 = (1)1 + (0) 0
      return (1, 0, 1)  ;; 1 = (0)11 + (1)1
    return (1, 1, -1)  ;; 1 = (1)12 + (-1)11
  return (1, -1, 3)   ;; 1 = (-1)35 + (3)12
```

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

**Claim:** Returns  $(d, a, b)$ :  $d = \gcd(x, y)$  and  $d = ax + by$ .

**Example:**

```
ext-gcd(35, 12)
  ext-gcd(12, 11)
    ext-gcd(11, 1)
      ext-gcd(1, 0)
        return (1, 1, 0) ;; 1 = (1)1 + (0) 0
      return (1, 0, 1)  ;; 1 = (0)11 + (1)1
    return (1, 1, -1)  ;; 1 = (1)12 + (-1)11
  return (1, -1, 3)   ;; 1 = (-1)35 + (3)12
```

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

## Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

**Theorem:** Returns  $(d, a, b)$ , where  $d = \gcd(a, b)$  and

$$d = ax + by.$$

# Correctness.

**Proof:** Strong Induction.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Assume  $d$  is  $\gcd(x, y)$  by previous proof.

## Correctness.

**Proof:** Strong Induction.<sup>1</sup>

**Base:**  $\text{ext-gcd}(x, 0)$  returns  $(d = x, 1, 0)$  with  $x = (1)x + (0)y$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Assume  $d$  is  $\text{gcd}(x, y)$  by previous proof.

## Correctness.

**Proof:** Strong Induction.<sup>1</sup>

**Base:**  $\text{ext-gcd}(x, 0)$  returns  $(d = x, 1, 0)$  with  $x = (1)x + (0)y$ .

**Induction Step:** Returns  $(d, A, B)$  with  $d = Ax + By$

---

<sup>1</sup>Assume  $d$  is  $\text{gcd}(x, y)$  by previous proof.

## Correctness.

**Proof:** Strong Induction.<sup>1</sup>

**Base:**  $\text{ext-gcd}(x, 0)$  returns  $(d = x, 1, 0)$  with  $x = (1)x + (0)y$ .

**Induction Step:** Returns  $(d, A, B)$  with  $d = Ax + By$

Ind hyp:  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod}(x, y))$  returns  $(d, a, b)$  with  
 $d = ay + b(\text{ mod}(x, y))$

---

<sup>1</sup>Assume  $d$  is  $\text{gcd}(x, y)$  by previous proof.

## Correctness.

**Proof:** Strong Induction.<sup>1</sup>

**Base:**  $\text{ext-gcd}(x, 0)$  returns  $(d = x, 1, 0)$  with  $x = (1)x + (0)y$ .

**Induction Step:** Returns  $(d, A, B)$  with  $d = Ax + By$

Ind hyp:  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod } (x, y))$  returns  $(d, a, b)$  with

$$d = ay + b(\text{ mod } (x, y))$$

$\text{ext-gcd}(x, y)$  calls  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod } (x, y))$  so

---

<sup>1</sup>Assume  $d$  is  $\text{gcd}(x, y)$  by previous proof.

## Correctness.

**Proof:** Strong Induction.<sup>1</sup>

**Base:**  $\text{ext-gcd}(x, 0)$  returns  $(d = x, 1, 0)$  with  $x = (1)x + (0)y$ .

**Induction Step:** Returns  $(d, A, B)$  with  $d = Ax + By$

Ind hyp:  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod } (x, y))$  returns  $(d, a, b)$  with  
 $d = ay + b(\text{ mod } (x, y))$

$\text{ext-gcd}(x, y)$  calls  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod } (x, y))$  so

$$d = ay + b \cdot (\text{ mod } (x, y))$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Assume  $d$  is  $\text{gcd}(x, y)$  by previous proof.

## Correctness.

**Proof:** Strong Induction.<sup>1</sup>

**Base:**  $\text{ext-gcd}(x, 0)$  returns  $(d = x, 1, 0)$  with  $x = (1)x + (0)y$ .

**Induction Step:** Returns  $(d, A, B)$  with  $d = Ax + By$

Ind hyp:  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod}(x, y))$  returns  $(d, a, b)$  with  
 $d = ay + b(\text{ mod}(x, y))$

$\text{ext-gcd}(x, y)$  calls  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod}(x, y))$  so

$$\begin{aligned}d &= ay + b \cdot (\text{ mod}(x, y)) \\ &= ay + b \cdot (x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor y)\end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Assume  $d$  is  $\text{gcd}(x, y)$  by previous proof.

# Correctness.

**Proof:** Strong Induction.<sup>1</sup>

**Base:**  $\text{ext-gcd}(x, 0)$  returns  $(d = x, 1, 0)$  with  $x = (1)x + (0)y$ .

**Induction Step:** Returns  $(d, A, B)$  with  $d = Ax + By$

Ind hyp:  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod}(x, y))$  returns  $(d, a, b)$  with  
 $d = ay + b(\text{ mod}(x, y))$

$\text{ext-gcd}(x, y)$  calls  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod}(x, y))$  so

$$\begin{aligned}d &= ay + b \cdot (\text{ mod}(x, y)) \\ &= ay + b \cdot (x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor y) \\ &= bx + (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b)y\end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Assume  $d$  is  $\text{gcd}(x, y)$  by previous proof.

## Correctness.

**Proof:** Strong Induction.<sup>1</sup>

**Base:**  $\text{ext-gcd}(x, 0)$  returns  $(d = x, 1, 0)$  with  $x = (1)x + (0)y$ .

**Induction Step:** Returns  $(d, A, B)$  with  $d = Ax + By$

Ind hyp:  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod } (x, y))$  returns  $(d, a, b)$  with  
 $d = ay + b(\text{ mod } (x, y))$

$\text{ext-gcd}(x, y)$  calls  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod } (x, y))$  so

$$\begin{aligned}d &= ay + b \cdot (\text{ mod } (x, y)) \\ &= ay + b \cdot (x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor y) \\ &= bx + (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b)y\end{aligned}$$

And  $\text{ext-gcd}$  returns  $(d, b, (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b))$  so theorem holds!

---

<sup>1</sup>Assume  $d$  is  $\text{gcd}(x, y)$  by previous proof.

## Correctness.

**Proof:** Strong Induction.<sup>1</sup>

**Base:**  $\text{ext-gcd}(x, 0)$  returns  $(d = x, 1, 0)$  with  $x = (1)x + (0)y$ .

**Induction Step:** Returns  $(d, A, B)$  with  $d = Ax + By$

Ind hyp:  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod } (x, y))$  returns  $(d, a, b)$  with  
 $d = ay + b(\text{ mod } (x, y))$

$\text{ext-gcd}(x, y)$  calls  $\text{ext-gcd}(y, \text{ mod } (x, y))$  so

$$\begin{aligned}d &= ay + b \cdot (\text{ mod } (x, y)) \\ &= ay + b \cdot (x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor y) \\ &= bx + (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b)y\end{aligned}$$

And  $\text{ext-gcd}$  returns  $(d, b, (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b))$  so theorem holds! □

---

<sup>1</sup>Assume  $d$  is  $\text{gcd}(x, y)$  by previous proof.

## Review Proof: step.

```
ext-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x,y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

## Review Proof: step.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

Recursively:  $d = ay + b(x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot y)$

## Review Proof: step.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

Recursively:  $d = ay + b(x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot y) \implies d = bx - (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor b)y$

## Review Proof: step.

```
ext-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x, y))
    return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

Recursively:  $d = ay + b(x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot y) \implies d = bx - (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor b)y$

Returns  $(d, b, (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b))$ .

# Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example:  $\gcd(7, 60) = 1$ .

# Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example:  $\gcd(7, 60) = 1$ .  
egcd(7,60).

# Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example:  $\gcd(7, 60) = 1$ .  
egcd(7,60).

$$7(0) + 60(1) = 60$$

# Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example:  $\gcd(7, 60) = 1$ .  
egcd(7,60).

$$7(0) + 60(1) = 60$$

$$7(1) + 60(0) = 7$$

# Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example:  $\gcd(7, 60) = 1$ .  
egcd(7,60).

$$7(0) + 60(1) = 60$$

$$7(1) + 60(0) = 7$$

$$7(-8) + 60(1) = 4$$

# Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example:  $\gcd(7, 60) = 1$ .  
egcd(7,60).

$$7(0) + 60(1) = 60$$

$$7(1) + 60(0) = 7$$

$$7(-8) + 60(1) = 4$$

$$7(9) + 60(-1) = 3$$

# Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example:  $\gcd(7, 60) = 1$ .  
egcd(7,60).

$$\begin{aligned}7(0) + 60(1) &= 60 \\7(1) + 60(0) &= 7 \\7(-8) + 60(1) &= 4 \\7(9) + 60(-1) &= 3 \\7(-17) + 60(2) &= 1\end{aligned}$$

# Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example:  $\gcd(7, 60) = 1$ .  
egcd(7,60).

$$7(0) + 60(1) = 60$$

$$7(1) + 60(0) = 7$$

$$7(-8) + 60(1) = 4$$

$$7(9) + 60(-1) = 3$$

$$7(-17) + 60(2) = 1$$

# Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example:  $\gcd(7, 60) = 1$ .  
egcd(7,60).

$$\begin{aligned}7(0) + 60(1) &= 60 \\7(1) + 60(0) &= 7 \\7(-8) + 60(1) &= 4 \\7(9) + 60(-1) &= 3 \\7(-17) + 60(2) &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Confirm:

# Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example:  $\gcd(7, 60) = 1$ .  
egcd(7,60).

$$\begin{aligned}7(0) + 60(1) &= 60 \\7(1) + 60(0) &= 7 \\7(-8) + 60(1) &= 4 \\7(9) + 60(-1) &= 3 \\7(-17) + 60(2) &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Confirm:  $-119 + 120 = 1$

# Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example:  $\gcd(7, 60) = 1$ .  
egcd(7,60).

$$\begin{aligned}7(0) + 60(1) &= 60 \\7(1) + 60(0) &= 7 \\7(-8) + 60(1) &= 4 \\7(9) + 60(-1) &= 3 \\7(-17) + 60(2) &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Confirm:  $-119 + 120 = 1$

Note: an “iterative” version of the e-gcd algorithm.

# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic.

Thm: Every natural number can be written as the product of primes.

# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic.

Thm: Every natural number can be written as the product of primes.

Proof:  $n$  is either prime (base cases)

or  $n = a \times b$  and  $a$  and  $b$  can be written as product of primes.

# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic.

Thm: Every natural number can be written as the product of primes.

Proof:  $n$  is either prime (base cases)

or  $n = a \times b$  and  $a$  and  $b$  can be written as product of primes.

Thm: The prime factorization of  $n$  is unique up to reordering.

# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic.

Thm: Every natural number can be written as the product of primes.

Proof:  $n$  is either prime (base cases)

or  $n = a \times b$  and  $a$  and  $b$  can be written as product of primes.

Thm: The prime factorization of  $n$  is unique up to reordering.

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic:

Every natural number can be written as a unique (up to reordering) product of primes.

# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic.

Thm: Every natural number can be written as the product of primes.

Proof:  $n$  is either prime (base cases)

or  $n = a \times b$  and  $a$  and  $b$  can be written as product of primes.

Thm: The prime factorization of  $n$  is unique up to reordering.

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic:

Every natural number can be written as a unique (up to reordering) product of primes.

Generalization: things with a “division algorithm”.

# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic.

Thm: Every natural number can be written as the product of primes.

Proof:  $n$  is either prime (base cases)

or  $n = a \times b$  and  $a$  and  $b$  can be written as product of primes.

Thm: The prime factorization of  $n$  is unique up to reordering.

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic:

Every natural number can be written as a unique (up to reordering) product of primes.

Generalization: things with a “division algorithm”.

One example: polynomial division.

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.  
 $\implies x|axz + byz$

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



Extended Euclid: computes inverses.

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



Extended Euclid: computes inverses.

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



Extended Euclid: computes inverses.

Extended Euclid from integer division algorithm:

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



Extended Euclid: computes inverses.

Extended Euclid from integer division algorithm:

$\implies$  Fundamental Theorem.

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



Extended Euclid: computes inverses.

Extended Euclid from integer division algorithm:

$$\implies \text{Fundamental Theorem.}$$

Used to prove that the prime factorization of a number is unique.

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



Extended Euclid: computes inverses.

Extended Euclid from integer division algorithm:

$$\implies \text{Fundamental Theorem.}$$

Used to prove that the prime factorization of a number is unique.

Contradiction (two factorizations):  $q_1 \cdot q_\ell$  and  $p_1 \cdot p_k$

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



Extended Euclid: computes inverses.

Extended Euclid from integer division algorithm:

$$\implies \text{Fundamental Theorem.}$$

Used to prove that the prime factorization of a number is unique.

Contradiction (two factorizations):  $q_1 \cdot q_\ell$  and  $p_1 \cdot p_k$

Induction:  $p_1$  divides both.

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



Extended Euclid: computes inverses.

Extended Euclid from integer division algorithm:

$$\implies \text{Fundamental Theorem.}$$

Used to prove that the prime factorization of a number is unique.

Contradiction (two factorizations):  $q_1 \cdot q_\ell$  and  $p_1 \cdot p_k$

Induction:  $p_1$  divides both. Same number.

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



Extended Euclid: computes inverses.

Extended Euclid from integer division algorithm:

$$\implies \text{Fundamental Theorem.}$$

Used to prove that the prime factorization of a number is unique.

Contradiction (two factorizations):  $q_1 \cdot q_\ell$  and  $p_1 \cdot p_k$

Induction:  $p_1$  divides both. Same number.

Using claim:

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



Extended Euclid: computes inverses.

Extended Euclid from integer division algorithm:

$$\implies \text{Fundamental Theorem.}$$

Used to prove that the prime factorization of a number is unique.

Contradiction (two factorizations):  $q_1 \cdot q_\ell$  and  $p_1 \cdot p_k$

Induction:  $p_1$  divides both. Same number.

Using claim:  $p_1$  divides  $q_1 \cdot q_{\ell-1}$  or  $q_\ell$ .

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



Extended Euclid: computes inverses.

Extended Euclid from integer division algorithm:

$$\implies \text{Fundamental Theorem.}$$

Used to prove that the prime factorization of a number is unique.

Contradiction (two factorizations):  $q_1 \cdot q_\ell$  and  $p_1 \cdot p_k$

Induction:  $p_1$  divides both. Same number.

Using claim:  $p_1$  divides  $q_1 \cdot q_{\ell-1}$  or  $q_\ell$ .

Conclusion:  $p_1 = q_i$  for some  $i$ .

## No shared common factors, and products.

Claim: For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $x|yz$  then  $x|z$ .

Idea(restatement):  $x$  doesn't share common factors with  $y$   
so it must divide  $z$ .

Euclid:  $1 = ax + by$ .

Observe:  $x|axz$  and  $x|byz$  (since  $x|yz$ ), and  $x$  divides the sum.

$$\implies x|axz + byz$$

And  $axz + byz = z$ , thus  $x|z$ .



Extended Euclid: computes inverses.

Extended Euclid from integer division algorithm:

$$\implies \text{Fundamental Theorem.}$$

Used to prove that the prime factorization of a number is unique.

Contradiction (two factorizations):  $q_1 \cdot q_\ell$  and  $p_1 \cdot p_k$

Induction:  $p_1$  divides both. Same number.

Using claim:  $p_1$  divides  $q_1 \cdot q_{\ell-1}$  or  $q_\ell$ .

Conclusion:  $p_1 = q_i$  for some  $i$ .

## Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in  $O(n)$  time!

## Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in  $O(n)$  time!

Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...

## Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in  $O(n)$  time!

Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...

$$2^{n/2}$$

## Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in  $O(n)$  time!

Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...

$$2^{n/2}$$

Inverse of 500,000,357 modulo 1,000,000,000,000?

## Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in  $O(n)$  time!

Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...

$$2^{n/2}$$

Inverse of 500,000,357 modulo 1,000,000,000,000?

$\leq 80$  divisions.

# Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in  $O(n)$  time!

Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...

$$2^{n/2}$$

Inverse of 500,000,357 modulo 1,000,000,000,000?

$\leq 80$  divisions.

versus 1,000,000

# Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in  $O(n)$  time!

Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...

$$2^{n/2}$$

Inverse of 500,000,357 modulo 1,000,000,000,000?

$\leq 80$  divisions.

versus 1,000,000

# Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in  $O(n)$  time!

Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...

$$2^{n/2}$$

Inverse of 500,000,357 modulo 1,000,000,000,000?

$\leq 80$  divisions.

versus 1,000,000

Internet Security.

# Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in  $O(n)$  time!

Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...

$$2^{n/2}$$

Inverse of 500,000,357 modulo 1,000,000,000,000?

$\leq 80$  divisions.

versus 1,000,000

Internet Security.

Public Key Cryptography: 512 digits.

# Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in  $O(n)$  time!

Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...

$$2^{n/2}$$

Inverse of 500,000,357 modulo 1,000,000,000,000?

$\leq 80$  divisions.

versus 1,000,000

Internet Security.

Public Key Cryptography: 512 digits.

512 divisions vs.









## Lots of Mods

$$x = 5 \pmod{7} \text{ and } x = 3 \pmod{5}.$$

## Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

# Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

Let's try 5.

# Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

Let's try 5. Not  $3 \pmod{5}$ !

# Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

Let's try 5. Not  $3 \pmod{5}$ !

Let's try 3.

# Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

Let's try 5. Not  $3 \pmod{5}$ !

Let's try 3. Not  $5 \pmod{7}$ !

# Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

Let's try 5. Not  $3 \pmod{5}$ !

Let's try 3. Not  $5 \pmod{7}$ !

# Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

Let's try 5. Not 3  $\pmod{5}$ !

Let's try 3. Not 5  $\pmod{7}$ !

If  $x = 5 \pmod{7}$

# Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

Let's try 5. Not 3  $\pmod{5}$ !

Let's try 3. Not 5  $\pmod{7}$ !

If  $x = 5 \pmod{7}$

then  $x$  is in  $\{5, 12, 19, 26, 33\}$ .

# Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

Let's try 5. Not 3  $\pmod{5}$ !

Let's try 3. Not 5  $\pmod{7}$ !

If  $x = 5 \pmod{7}$

then  $x$  is in  $\{5, 12, 19, 26, 33\}$ .

# Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

Let's try 5. Not  $3 \pmod{5}$ !

Let's try 3. Not  $5 \pmod{7}$ !

If  $x = 5 \pmod{7}$

then  $x$  is in  $\{5, 12, 19, 26, 33\}$ .

Oh, only 33 is  $3 \pmod{5}$ .

# Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

Let's try 5. Not  $3 \pmod{5}$ !

Let's try 3. Not  $5 \pmod{7}$ !

If  $x = 5 \pmod{7}$

then  $x$  is in  $\{5, 12, 19, 26, 33\}$ .

Oh, only 33 is  $3 \pmod{5}$ .

Hmmm...

# Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

Let's try 5. Not  $3 \pmod{5}$ !

Let's try 3. Not  $5 \pmod{7}$ !

If  $x = 5 \pmod{7}$

then  $x$  is in  $\{5, 12, 19, 26, 33\}$ .

Oh, only 33 is  $3 \pmod{5}$ .

Hmmm... only one solution.

# Lots of Mods

$x = 5 \pmod{7}$  and  $x = 3 \pmod{5}$ .

What is  $x \pmod{35}$ ?

Let's try 5. Not  $3 \pmod{5}$ !

Let's try 3. Not  $5 \pmod{7}$ !

If  $x = 5 \pmod{7}$

then  $x$  is in  $\{5, 12, 19, 26, 33\}$ .

Oh, only 33 is  $3 \pmod{5}$ .

Hmmm... only one solution.

A bit slow for large values.

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won.

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One.

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won.

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1.

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n}$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n} \qquad u = 1 \pmod{m}$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n} \quad u = 1 \pmod{m}$$

Consider  $v = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n})$ .

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n} \quad u = 1 \pmod{m}$$

Consider  $v = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n})$ .

$$v = 1 \pmod{n}$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n} \quad u = 1 \pmod{m}$$

Consider  $v = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n})$ .

$$v = 1 \pmod{n} \quad v = 0 \pmod{m}$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n} \quad u = 1 \pmod{m}$$

Consider  $v = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n})$ .

$$v = 1 \pmod{n} \quad v = 0 \pmod{m}$$

Let  $x = au + bv$ .

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n} \quad u = 1 \pmod{m}$$

Consider  $v = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n})$ .

$$v = 1 \pmod{n} \quad v = 0 \pmod{m}$$

Let  $x = au + bv$ .

$$x = a \pmod{m}$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n} \quad u = 1 \pmod{m}$$

Consider  $v = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n})$ .

$$v = 1 \pmod{n} \quad v = 0 \pmod{m}$$

Let  $x = au + bv$ .

$$x = a \pmod{m} \text{ since } bv = 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } au = a \pmod{m}$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n} \quad u = 1 \pmod{m}$$

Consider  $v = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n})$ .

$$v = 1 \pmod{n} \quad v = 0 \pmod{m}$$

Let  $x = au + bv$ .

$$x = a \pmod{m} \text{ since } bv = 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } au = a \pmod{m}$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n} \quad u = 1 \pmod{m}$$

Consider  $v = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n})$ .

$$v = 1 \pmod{n} \quad v = 0 \pmod{m}$$

Let  $x = au + bv$ .

$$x = a \pmod{m} \text{ since } bv = 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } au = a \pmod{m}$$

$$x = b \pmod{n}$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n} \quad u = 1 \pmod{m}$$

Consider  $v = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n})$ .

$$v = 1 \pmod{n} \quad v = 0 \pmod{m}$$

Let  $x = au + bv$ .

$$x = a \pmod{m} \text{ since } bv = 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } au = a \pmod{m}$$

$$x = b \pmod{n} \text{ since } au = 0 \pmod{n} \text{ and } bv = b \pmod{n}$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n} \quad u = 1 \pmod{m}$$

Consider  $v = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n})$ .

$$v = 1 \pmod{n} \quad v = 0 \pmod{m}$$

Let  $x = au + bv$ .

$$x = a \pmod{m} \text{ since } bv = 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } au = a \pmod{m}$$

$$x = b \pmod{n} \text{ since } au = 0 \pmod{n} \text{ and } bv = b \pmod{n}$$

Thus there is a solution.

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.

My love is won. 0 and 1. Nothing and nothing done.

Find  $x = a \pmod{m}$  and  $x = b \pmod{n}$  where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (solution exists):**

Consider  $u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m})$ .

$$u = 0 \pmod{n} \quad u = 1 \pmod{m}$$

Consider  $v = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n})$ .

$$v = 1 \pmod{n} \quad v = 0 \pmod{m}$$

Let  $x = au + bv$ .

$$x = a \pmod{m} \text{ since } bv = 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } au = a \pmod{m}$$

$$x = b \pmod{n} \text{ since } au = 0 \pmod{n} \text{ and } bv = b \pmod{n}$$

Thus there is a solution. □

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (uniqueness):**

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (uniqueness):**

If not, two solutions,  $x$  and  $y$ .

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (uniqueness):**

If not, two solutions,  $x$  and  $y$ .

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (uniqueness):**

If not, two solutions,  $x$  and  $y$ .

$$(x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } (x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (uniqueness):**

If not, two solutions,  $x$  and  $y$ .

$$(x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } (x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

$$\implies (x - y) \text{ is multiple of } m \text{ and } n$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (uniqueness):**

If not, two solutions,  $x$  and  $y$ .

$$(x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } (x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

$$\implies (x - y) \text{ is multiple of } m \text{ and } n$$

$$\gcd(m, n) = 1 \implies \text{no common primes in factorization } m \text{ and } n$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (uniqueness):**

If not, two solutions,  $x$  and  $y$ .

$$(x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } (x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

$$\implies (x - y) \text{ is multiple of } m \text{ and } n$$

$$\gcd(m, n) = 1 \implies \text{no common primes in factorization } m \text{ and } n$$

$$\implies mn \mid (x - y)$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (uniqueness):**

If not, two solutions,  $x$  and  $y$ .

$$(x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } (x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

$$\implies (x - y) \text{ is multiple of } m \text{ and } n$$

$$\gcd(m, n) = 1 \implies \text{no common primes in factorization } m \text{ and } n$$

$$\implies mn \mid (x - y)$$

$$\implies x - y \geq mn$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (uniqueness):**

If not, two solutions,  $x$  and  $y$ .

$$(x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } (x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

$$\implies (x - y) \text{ is multiple of } m \text{ and } n$$

$$\gcd(m, n) = 1 \implies \text{no common primes in factorization } m \text{ and } n$$

$$\implies mn \mid (x - y)$$

$$\implies x - y \geq mn \implies x, y \notin \{0, \dots, mn - 1\}.$$

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (uniqueness):**

If not, two solutions,  $x$  and  $y$ .

$$(x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } (x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

$$\implies (x - y) \text{ is multiple of } m \text{ and } n$$

$$\gcd(m, n) = 1 \implies \text{no common primes in factorization } m \text{ and } n$$

$$\implies mn \mid (x - y)$$

$$\implies x - y \geq mn \implies x, y \notin \{0, \dots, mn - 1\}.$$

Thus, only one solution modulo  $mn$ .

# Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**CRT Thm:** There is a unique solution  $x \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof (uniqueness):**

If not, two solutions,  $x$  and  $y$ .

$$(x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } (x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

$$\implies (x - y) \text{ is multiple of } m \text{ and } n$$

$$\gcd(m, n) = 1 \implies \text{no common primes in factorization } m \text{ and } n$$

$$\implies mn \mid (x - y)$$

$$\implies x - y \geq mn \implies x, y \notin \{0, \dots, mn - 1\}.$$

Thus, only one solution modulo  $mn$ . □

Poll.

**My love is won,  
Zero and one.  
Nothing and nothing done.**

## Poll.

**My love is won,  
Zero and one.  
Nothing and nothing done.**

What is the rhyme saying?

## Poll.

**My love is won,  
Zero and one.  
Nothing and nothing done.**

What is the rhyme saying?

(A) Multiplying by 1, gives back number. (Does nothing.)

## Poll.

**My love is won,  
Zero and one.  
Nothing and nothing done.**

What is the rhyme saying?

- (A) Multiplying by 1, gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (B) Adding 0 gives back number. (Does nothing.)

## Poll.

**My love is won,  
Zero and one.  
Nothing and nothing done.**

What is the rhyme saying?

- (A) Multiplying by 1, gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (B) Adding 0 gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (C) Rao is goofy.

## Poll.

**My love is won,  
Zero and one.  
Nothing and nothing done.**

What is the rhyme saying?

- (A) Multiplying by 1, gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (B) Adding 0 gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (C) Rao is goofy.
- (D) Multiplying by 0, gives 0.

## Poll.

**My love is won,  
Zero and one.  
Nothing and nothing done.**

What is the rhyme saying?

- (A) Multiplying by 1, gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (B) Adding 0 gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (C) Rao is goofy.
- (D) Multiplying by 0, gives 0.
- (E) Adding one does, not too much.

## Poll.

**My love is won,  
Zero and one.  
Nothing and nothing done.**

What is the rhyme saying?

- (A) Multiplying by 1, gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (B) Adding 0 gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (C) Rao is goofy.
- (D) Multiplying by 0, gives 0.
- (E) Adding one does, not too much.

All are (maybe) correct.

## Poll.

**My love is won,  
Zero and one.  
Nothing and nothing done.**

What is the rhyme saying?

- (A) Multiplying by 1, gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (B) Adding 0 gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (C) Rao is goofy.
- (D) Multiplying by 0, gives 0.
- (E) Adding one does, not too much.

All are (maybe) correct.

(E) doesn't have to do with the rhyme.

## Poll.

**My love is won,  
Zero and one.  
Nothing and nothing done.**

What is the rhyme saying?

- (A) Multiplying by 1, gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (B) Adding 0 gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (C) Rao is goofy.
- (D) Multiplying by 0, gives 0.
- (E) Adding one does, not too much.

All are (maybe) correct.

- (E) doesn't have to do with the rhyme.
- (C) Recall Polonius:

# Poll.

**My love is won,  
Zero and one.  
Nothing and nothing done.**

What is the rhyme saying?

- (A) Multiplying by 1, gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (B) Adding 0 gives back number. (Does nothing.)
- (C) Rao is goofy.
- (D) Multiplying by 0, gives 0.
- (E) Adding one does, not too much.

All are (maybe) correct.

(E) doesn't have to do with the rhyme.

(C) Recall Polonius:

“Though this be madness, yet there is method in't.”

# CRT:isomorphism.

For  $m, n$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

# CRT:isomorphism.

For  $m, n$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

$$x \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow x = a \pmod{m} \text{ and } x = b \pmod{n}$$

# CRT:isomorphism.

For  $m, n$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

$$x \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow x = a \pmod{m} \text{ and } x = b \pmod{n}$$

$$y \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow y = c \pmod{m} \text{ and } y = d \pmod{n}$$

# CRT:isomorphism.

For  $m, n$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

$$x \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow x = a \pmod{m} \text{ and } x = b \pmod{n}$$

$$y \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow y = c \pmod{m} \text{ and } y = d \pmod{n}$$

Also, true that  $x + y \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow a + c \pmod{m} \text{ and } b + d \pmod{n}$ .

# CRT:isomorphism.

For  $m, n$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

$$x \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow x = a \pmod{m} \text{ and } x = b \pmod{n}$$

$$y \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow y = c \pmod{m} \text{ and } y = d \pmod{n}$$

Also, true that  $x + y \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow a + c \pmod{m} \text{ and } b + d \pmod{n}$ .

Mapping is “isomorphic”:

# CRT:isomorphism.

For  $m, n$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

$$x \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow x = a \pmod{m} \text{ and } x = b \pmod{n}$$

$$y \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow y = c \pmod{m} \text{ and } y = d \pmod{n}$$

Also, true that  $x + y \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow a + c \pmod{m} \text{ and } b + d \pmod{n}$ .

Mapping is “isomorphic”:

addition (and multiplication) works with pre-images or images

# CRT:isomorphism.

For  $m, n$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

$$x \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow x = a \pmod{m} \text{ and } x = b \pmod{n}$$

$$y \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow y = c \pmod{m} \text{ and } y = d \pmod{n}$$

Also, true that  $x + y \pmod{mn} \leftrightarrow a + c \pmod{m} \text{ and } b + d \pmod{n}$ .

Mapping is “isomorphic”:

addition (and multiplication) works with pre-images or images

Basis of hardware accelerators for security.

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:**

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** Consider  $S = \{a \cdot 1, \dots, a \cdot (p-1)\}$ .

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** Consider  $S = \{a \cdot 1, \dots, a \cdot (p-1)\}$ .

All different modulo  $p$  since  $a$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ .

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** Consider  $S = \{a \cdot 1, \dots, a \cdot (p-1)\}$ .

All different modulo  $p$  since  $a$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ .

$S$  contains representative of  $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$  modulo  $p$ .

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** Consider  $S = \{a \cdot 1, \dots, a \cdot (p-1)\}$ .

All different modulo  $p$  since  $a$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ .

$S$  contains representative of  $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$  modulo  $p$ .

$$(a \cdot 1) \cdot (a \cdot 2) \cdots (a \cdot (p-1)) \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \pmod{p},$$

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** Consider  $S = \{a \cdot 1, \dots, a \cdot (p-1)\}$ .

All different modulo  $p$  since  $a$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ .

$S$  contains representative of  $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$  modulo  $p$ .

$$(a \cdot 1) \cdot (a \cdot 2) \cdots (a \cdot (p-1)) \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \pmod{p},$$

Since multiplication is commutative.

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** Consider  $S = \{a \cdot 1, \dots, a \cdot (p-1)\}$ .

All different modulo  $p$  since  $a$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ .

$S$  contains representative of  $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$  modulo  $p$ .

$$(a \cdot 1) \cdot (a \cdot 2) \cdots (a \cdot (p-1)) \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \pmod{p},$$

Since multiplication is commutative.

$$a^{(p-1)}(1 \cdots (p-1)) \equiv (1 \cdots (p-1)) \pmod{p}.$$

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** Consider  $S = \{a \cdot 1, \dots, a \cdot (p-1)\}$ .

All different modulo  $p$  since  $a$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ .

$S$  contains representative of  $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$  modulo  $p$ .

$$(a \cdot 1) \cdot (a \cdot 2) \cdots (a \cdot (p-1)) \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \pmod{p},$$

Since multiplication is commutative.

$$a^{(p-1)}(1 \cdots (p-1)) \equiv (1 \cdots (p-1)) \pmod{p}.$$

Each of  $2, \dots, (p-1)$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ ,

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** Consider  $S = \{a \cdot 1, \dots, a \cdot (p-1)\}$ .

All different modulo  $p$  since  $a$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ .

$S$  contains representative of  $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$  modulo  $p$ .

$$(a \cdot 1) \cdot (a \cdot 2) \cdots (a \cdot (p-1)) \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \pmod{p},$$

Since multiplication is commutative.

$$a^{(p-1)}(1 \cdots (p-1)) \equiv (1 \cdots (p-1)) \pmod{p}.$$

Each of  $2, \dots, (p-1)$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ , solve to get...

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** Consider  $S = \{a \cdot 1, \dots, a \cdot (p-1)\}$ .

All different modulo  $p$  since  $a$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ .

$S$  contains representative of  $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$  modulo  $p$ .

$$(a \cdot 1) \cdot (a \cdot 2) \cdots (a \cdot (p-1)) \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \pmod{p},$$

Since multiplication is commutative.

$$a^{(p-1)}(1 \cdots (p-1)) \equiv (1 \cdots (p-1)) \pmod{p}.$$

Each of  $2, \dots, (p-1)$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ , solve to get...

$$a^{(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

# Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** Consider  $S = \{a \cdot 1, \dots, a \cdot (p-1)\}$ .

All different modulo  $p$  since  $a$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ .

$S$  contains representative of  $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$  modulo  $p$ .

$$(a \cdot 1) \cdot (a \cdot 2) \cdots (a \cdot (p-1)) \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \pmod{p},$$

Since multiplication is commutative.

$$a^{(p-1)}(1 \cdots (p-1)) \equiv (1 \cdots (p-1)) \pmod{p}.$$

Each of  $2, \dots, (p-1)$  has an inverse modulo  $p$ , solve to get...

$$a^{(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$



Example.

$$p = 5.$$

## Example.

$$p = 5.$$

$$a = 2 \pmod{5}.$$

## Example.

$$p = 5.$$

$$a = 2 \pmod{5}.$$

$$S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

## Example.

$$p = 5.$$

$$a = 2 \pmod{5}.$$

$$S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$T = \{2(1), 2(2), 2(3), 2(4)\}$$

## Example.

$$p = 5.$$

$$a = 2 \pmod{5}.$$

$$S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$T = \{2(1), 2(2), 2(3), 2(4)\} = \{2, 4, 1, 3\} \pmod{5}.$$

## Example.

$$p = 5.$$

$$a = 2 \pmod{5}.$$

$$S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$T = \{2(1), 2(2), 2(3), 2(4)\} = \{2, 4, 1, 3\} \pmod{5}.$$

$$1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2 \times 4 \times 1 \times 3 \pmod{5}.$$

## Example.

$$p = 5.$$

$$a = 2 \pmod{5}.$$

$$S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$T = \{2(1), 2(2), 2(3), 2(4)\} = \{2, 4, 1, 3\} \pmod{5}.$$

$$1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2 \times 4 \times 1 \times 3 \pmod{5}.$$

Cuz Multiplication is commutative.

## Example.

$$p = 5.$$

$$a = 2 \pmod{5}.$$

$$S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$T = \{2(1), 2(2), 2(3), 2(4)\} = \{2, 4, 1, 3\} \pmod{5}.$$

$$1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2 \times 4 \times 1 \times 3 \pmod{5}.$$

Cuz Multiplication is commutative.

$$1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2(1) \times 2(2) \times 2(3) \times 2(4) = 2^4 \times 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 \pmod{5}.$$

## Example.

$$p = 5.$$

$$a = 2 \pmod{5}.$$

$$S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$T = \{2(1), 2(2), 2(3), 2(4)\} = \{2, 4, 1, 3\} \pmod{5}.$$

$$1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2 \times 4 \times 1 \times 3 \pmod{5}.$$

Cuz Multiplication is commutative.

$$1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2(1) \times 2(2) \times 2(3) \times 2(4) = 2^4 \times 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 \pmod{5}.$$

All of 1, 2, 3, 4 have a multiplicative inverse. So...

## Example.

$$p = 5.$$

$$a = 2 \pmod{5}.$$

$$S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$T = \{2(1), 2(2), 2(3), 2(4)\} = \{2, 4, 1, 3\} \pmod{5}.$$

$$1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2 \times 4 \times 1 \times 3 \pmod{5}.$$

Cuz Multiplication is commutative.

$$1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2(1) \times 2(2) \times 2(3) \times 2(4) = 2^4 \times 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 \pmod{5}.$$

All of 1, 2, 3, 4 have a multiplicative inverse. So...

$$1 = 2^4 \pmod{5}$$

## Example.

$$p = 5.$$

$$a = 2 \pmod{5}.$$

$$S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$T = \{2(1), 2(2), 2(3), 2(4)\} = \{2, 4, 1, 3\} \pmod{5}.$$

$$1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2 \times 4 \times 1 \times 3 \pmod{5}.$$

Cuz Multiplication is commutative.

$$1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2(1) \times 2(2) \times 2(3) \times 2(4) = 2^4 \times 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 \pmod{5}.$$

All of 1, 2, 3, 4 have a multiplicative inverse. So...

$$1 = 2^4 \pmod{5} \qquad 2^4 = 1 \pmod{5}$$

## Example.

$$p = 5.$$

$$a = 2 \pmod{5}.$$

$$S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$T = \{2(1), 2(2), 2(3), 2(4)\} = \{2, 4, 1, 3\} \pmod{5}.$$

$$1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2 \times 4 \times 1 \times 3 \pmod{5}.$$

Cuz Multiplication is commutative.

$$1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 2(1) \times 2(2) \times 2(3) \times 2(4) = 2^4 \times 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 \pmod{5}.$$

All of 1, 2, 3, 4 have a multiplicative inverse. So...

$$1 = 2^4 \pmod{5} \qquad 2^4 = 1 \pmod{5}$$

$$a^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{5}.$$

# Poll

**Which was used in Fermat's theorem proof?**

# Poll

**Which was used in Fermat's theorem proof?**

(A) The mapping  $f(x) = ax \pmod{p}$  is a bijection.

**Which was used in Fermat's theorem proof?**

- (A) The mapping  $f(x) = ax \pmod{p}$  is a bijection.
- (B) Multiplying a number by 1, gives the number.

## Which was used in Fermat's theorem proof?

- (A) The mapping  $f(x) = ax \pmod{p}$  is a bijection.
- (B) Multiplying a number by 1, gives the number.
- (C) All nonzero numbers mod  $p$ , have an inverse.

## Which was used in Fermat's theorem proof?

- (A) The mapping  $f(x) = ax \pmod p$  is a bijection.
- (B) Multiplying a number by 1, gives the number.
- (C) All nonzero numbers mod  $p$ , have an inverse.
- (D) Multiplying a number by 0 gives 0.

## Which was used in Fermat's theorem proof?

- (A) The mapping  $f(x) = ax \pmod p$  is a bijection.
- (B) Multiplying a number by 1, gives the number.
- (C) All nonzero numbers mod  $p$ , have an inverse.
- (D) Multiplying a number by 0 gives 0.
- (E) Multiplying elements of sets A and B together is the same if  $A = B$ .

## Which was used in Fermat's theorem proof?

- (A) The mapping  $f(x) = ax \pmod{p}$  is a bijection.
- (B) Multiplying a number by 1, gives the number.
- (C) All nonzero numbers mod  $p$ , have an inverse.
- (D) Multiplying a number by 0 gives 0.
- (E) Multiplying elements of sets A and B together is the same if  $A = B$ .

## Which was used in Fermat's theorem proof?

- (A) The mapping  $f(x) = ax \pmod{p}$  is a bijection.
  - (B) Multiplying a number by 1, gives the number.
  - (C) All nonzero numbers mod  $p$ , have an inverse.
  - (D) Multiplying a number by 0 gives 0.
  - (E) Multiplying elements of sets A and B together is the same if  $A = B$ .
- (A), (C), and (E)

## Fermat and Exponent reducing.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

# Fermat and Exponent reducing.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

# Fermat and Exponent reducing.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

What is  $2^{101} \pmod{7}$ ?

# Fermat and Exponent reducing.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

What is  $2^{101} \pmod{7}$ ?

Wrong:  $2^{101} = 2^{7*14+3} = 2^3 \pmod{7}$

# Fermat and Exponent reducing.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

What is  $2^{101} \pmod{7}$ ?

**Wrong:**  $2^{101} = 2^{7 \cdot 14 + 3} = 2^3 \pmod{7}$

Fermat: 7 prime,  $\gcd(2,7) = 1. \implies 2^6 = 1 \pmod{7}$ .

# Fermat and Exponent reducing.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

What is  $2^{101} \pmod{7}$ ?

**Wrong:**  $2^{101} = 2^{7*14+3} = 2^3 \pmod{7}$

Fermat: 7 prime,  $\gcd(2,7) = 1. \implies 2^6 = 1 \pmod{7}$ .

**Correct:**  $2^{101} = 2^{6*16+5} = 2^5 = 32 = 4 \pmod{7}$ .

# Fermat and Exponent reducing.

**Fermat's Little Theorem:** For prime  $p$ , and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

What is  $2^{101} \pmod{7}$ ?

**Wrong:**  $2^{101} = 2^{7*14+3} = 2^3 \pmod{7}$

Fermat: 7 prime,  $\gcd(2,7) = 1. \implies 2^6 = 1 \pmod{7}$ .

**Correct:**  $2^{101} = 2^{6*16+5} = 2^5 = 32 = 4 \pmod{7}$ .

For a prime modulus, we can reduce exponents modulo  $p - 1$ !

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = ax = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = ax = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have  $ax = 1 \pmod{y}$

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = ax = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have  $ax = 1 \pmod{y}$

$\rightarrow a = x^{-1} \pmod{y}$ .

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = ax = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have  $ax = 1 \pmod{y}$

$\rightarrow a = x^{-1} \pmod{y}$ .

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = ax = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have  $ax = 1 \pmod{y}$

$\rightarrow a = x^{-1} \pmod{y}$ .

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra:

Unique prime factorization of any natural number.

Claim: if  $p|n$  and  $n = xy$ ,  $p|x$  or  $p|y$ .

From Extended Euclid.

Induction.

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = ax = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have  $ax = 1 \pmod{y}$

$\rightarrow a = x^{-1} \pmod{y}$ .

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra:

Unique prime factorization of any natural number.

Claim: if  $p|n$  and  $n = xy$ ,  $p|x$  or  $p|y$ .

From Extended Euclid.

Induction.

Chinese Remainder Theorem:

If  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ ,  $x = a \pmod{n}, x = b \pmod{m}$  unique sol.

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have  $ax = 1 \pmod{y}$

$\rightarrow a = x^{-1} \pmod{y}$ .

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra:

Unique prime factorization of any natural number.

Claim: if  $p|n$  and  $n = xy$ ,  $p|x$  or  $p|y$ .

From Extended Euclid.

Induction.

Chinese Remainder Theorem:

If  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ ,  $x = a \pmod{n}, x = b \pmod{m}$  unique sol.

Proof: Find  $u = 1 \pmod{n}, u = 0 \pmod{m}$ ,

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have  $ax = 1 \pmod{y}$

$\rightarrow a = x^{-1} \pmod{y}$ .

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra:

Unique prime factorization of any natural number.

Claim: if  $p|n$  and  $n = xy$ ,  $p|x$  or  $p|y$ .

From Extended Euclid.

Induction.

Chinese Remainder Theorem:

If  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ ,  $x = a \pmod{n}$ ,  $x = b \pmod{m}$  unique sol.

Proof: Find  $u = 1 \pmod{n}$ ,  $u = 0 \pmod{m}$ ,

and  $v = 0 \pmod{n}$ ,  $v = 1 \pmod{m}$ .

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have  $ax = 1 \pmod{y}$

$\rightarrow a = x^{-1} \pmod{y}$ .

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra:

Unique prime factorization of any natural number.

Claim: if  $p|n$  and  $n = xy$ ,  $p|x$  or  $p|y$ .

From Extended Euclid.

Induction.

Chinese Remainder Theorem:

If  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ ,  $x = a \pmod{n}$ ,  $x = b \pmod{m}$  unique sol.

Proof: Find  $u = 1 \pmod{n}$ ,  $u = 0 \pmod{m}$ ,

and  $v = 0 \pmod{n}$ ,  $v = 1 \pmod{m}$ .

Then:  $x = au + bv = a \pmod{n}$ ...

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have  $ax = 1 \pmod{y}$

$\rightarrow a = x^{-1} \pmod{y}$ .

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra:

Unique prime factorization of any natural number.

Claim: if  $p|n$  and  $n = xy$ ,  $p|x$  or  $p|y$ .

From Extended Euclid.

Induction.

Chinese Remainder Theorem:

If  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ ,  $x = a \pmod{n}$ ,  $x = b \pmod{m}$  unique sol.

Proof: Find  $u = 1 \pmod{n}$ ,  $u = 0 \pmod{m}$ ,

and  $v = 0 \pmod{n}$ ,  $v = 1 \pmod{m}$ .

Then:  $x = au + bv = a \pmod{n}$ ...

$u = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n}) \pmod{n}$  works!

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have  $ax = 1 \pmod{y}$

$\rightarrow a = x^{-1} \pmod{y}$ .

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra:

Unique prime factorization of any natural number.

Claim: if  $p|n$  and  $n = xy$ ,  $p|x$  or  $p|y$ .

From Extended Euclid.

Induction.

Chinese Remainder Theorem:

If  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ ,  $x = a \pmod{n}$ ,  $x = b \pmod{m}$  unique sol.

Proof: Find  $u = 1 \pmod{n}$ ,  $u = 0 \pmod{m}$ ,

and  $v = 0 \pmod{n}$ ,  $v = 1 \pmod{m}$ .

Then:  $x = au + bv = a \pmod{n}$ ...

$u = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n}) \pmod{n}$  works!

Fermat: Prime  $p$ ,  $a^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$ .

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have  $ax = 1 \pmod{y}$

$\rightarrow a = x^{-1} \pmod{y}$ .

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra:

Unique prime factorization of any natural number.

Claim: if  $p|n$  and  $n = xy$ ,  $p|x$  or  $p|y$ .

From Extended Euclid.

Induction.

Chinese Remainder Theorem:

If  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ ,  $x = a \pmod{n}$ ,  $x = b \pmod{m}$  unique sol.

Proof: Find  $u = 1 \pmod{n}$ ,  $u = 0 \pmod{m}$ ,

and  $v = 0 \pmod{n}$ ,  $v = 1 \pmod{m}$ .

Then:  $x = au + bv = a \pmod{n}$ ...

$u = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n}) \pmod{n}$  works!

Fermat: Prime  $p$ ,  $a^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Proof Idea:  $f(x) = a(x) \pmod{p}$ : bijection on  $S = \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ .

## Lecture in a minute.

Extended Euclid: Find  $a, b$  where  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Idea: compute  $a, b$  recursively (euclid), or iteratively.

Inverse:  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y) \pmod{y}$ .

If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have  $ax = 1 \pmod{y}$

$\rightarrow a = x^{-1} \pmod{y}$ .

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra:

Unique prime factorization of any natural number.

Claim: if  $p|n$  and  $n = xy$ ,  $p|x$  or  $p|y$ .

From Extended Euclid.

Induction.

Chinese Remainder Theorem:

If  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ ,  $x = a \pmod{n}$ ,  $x = b \pmod{m}$  unique sol.

Proof: Find  $u = 1 \pmod{n}$ ,  $u = 0 \pmod{m}$ ,

and  $v = 0 \pmod{n}$ ,  $v = 1 \pmod{m}$ .

Then:  $x = au + bv = a \pmod{n}$ ...

$u = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n}) \pmod{n}$  works!

Fermat: Prime  $p$ ,  $a^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Proof Idea:  $f(x) = a(x) \pmod{p}$ : bijection on  $S = \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ .

Product of elts == for range/domain:  $a^{p-1}$  factor in range.